International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
IJESRR
E-ISSN 2348-6457
March-April-2018, Volume-5, Issue-2
P-ISSN 2349-1817
www.ijesrr.org
Email- editor@ijesrr.org

IMPLICATIONS OF ABSTRACT ALGEBRA AND IT'S LOGICS

Dr. Pradip Singh.

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics.

Jamuni Lal College, Hajipur (Vaishali).

Abstract

In order to offer an explanation for the kinds of problems that serve as the basis for the branch of algebraic logic that has become known as Abstract Algebraic Logic, our objective is to provide an answer to the question that is presented by the title. We present a concise account of the beginnings and evolution of the area, beginning with the finding of links between certain logical systems and specific algebraic structures and ending with the construction of a comprehensive theory of algebraization of logics. The first thing that we are going to do is examine the so-called algebraizable logics, which might be considered a prime example of abstract algebraic logic. Following this, we will concentrate on a few more general categories of logics as well as the more extensive framework that is used to study them. Lastly, we will provide a brief summary of a few other abstract algebraic logic concerns that are far too exciting and promising to be discussed in all of their entirety here, but may be investigated further in the future.

Keywords: *skewness of Hilbert algebras and related properties.*

INTRODUCTION

In its most general sense, algebraic logic might be defined as the study of the relationships that exist between algebra and logic. Within the scope of this investigation, one of the driving forces behind it is the possibility of employing algebraic methods in order to resolve logical issues. This indicates that we may make an effort to turn a logical problem into algebraic terms, then utilise algebraic methods to seek the answer, and then translate the result back into logic in order to solve the problem. The fact that algebra is a mature and well-respected part of mathematics that gives powerful tools to address situations that may be far more difficult to solve with merely logical reasoning is the reason why this strategy was effective.

In this way, Chang's completeness theorem for J. Lukasiewicz's infinite-valued logic was derived, which is a well-known result that was gained thanks to this method. Within the context of this particular case, the relationship that was utilised was the one that existed between the real-valued semantics of Lukasiewicz logic and lattice-ordered groups, which are structures that are frequently investigated within the realm of abstract algebra. One thing that should be brought to your attention is that the link between logic and algebra may also be employed in the opposite manner, where logical methods are utilised to solve problems that are associated with algebra. As a result of recent developments in algebraic logic, this strategy has, on the other hand, become less prevalent and has only lately been utilised within the mathematical community.

It is possible to argue that one of the fundamental objectives of algebraic logic is to discover and describe the link that exists between the various logical systems and the classes of algebras that are connected with them. An further objective, which is on a more general level, is to provide an explanation of the nature of these linkages. The findings of this research led to the development of several general statements that are frequently referred to as bridge theorems. These theorems state that a logic possesses a particular property if and only if the corresponding class of algebras possesses a different property (or the algebraic counterpart of the same characteristic). It is possible, for instance, to inquire as to the reason behind the fact that certain logical systems have a very strong connection with the algebras that correspond to them, whilst other systems have a far weaker correlation with algebras. Determining the precise meaning in which we may claim, as we have done, that a given class of algebras "corresponds" to a particular logical system is another significant difficulty that we must face. As a consequence of these types of more abstract problems, the focus of academics in the most recent decades has been on the process of algebraization, which refers to the manner in which we bind a particular class of algebras to a certain logical system. This topic is now serving as the basis for the subfield of algebraic logic that is referred to as Abstract Algebraic Logic, or AAL for short.

OBJECTIVES

1. To the examination of the consequences of abstract concepts.
2. With regard to the study of algebra and logics.

A little background

You may utilise the work of nineteenth-century algebraists such as G. Boole and A. De Morgan to trace back the first investigations of the relationship between algebra and logic to the beginning of current mathematical logic. This can be done by considering the work of these individuals. The fundamental contribution that these authors made was the invention of algebraic theories that allowed for several interpretations, one of which was a logical one (for example, the interpretations of connection algebras and Boolean algebras). Nevertheless, the concept of a formal semantics that is tied to a logical system had not yet been conceived of at that point in time. The interpretation of the semantic meaning, when it was offered, was entirely informal. In addition, it was not present in the work of G. Frege and B. Russell, who outlined a logical framework that included a collection of axioms and inference rules that were intended to be utilised in the process of derivation.

Initial axiomatizations of modal and intuitionistic logics were offered by C. S. Lewis and A. Heyting, whose work was defined by a technique that was comparable to that of the aforementioned individuals. This tradition placed a strong focus on the concept of theoremhood, also known as logical validity, especially in the sense that sets of formulae, which are essentially collections of all the theorems in a certain logic, were utilised in order to define logical systems. It was, however, during the same years that other authors were diving into a distinct concept known as logical consequence. It was this concept that ultimately proved to be more advantageous for the development of a general theory of the algebraization of logics. Naturally, there are a great deal of parallels between the two concepts; nevertheless, the idea of logical consequence encompasses a wider range of activities. It will be demonstrated that logical validity can be reduced to logical consequence, as we shall demonstrate. Furthermore, and this is an extremely important point, the latter can also be reduced to the former there are certain circumstances.

Polish logicians were responsible for the bulk of the first extensive examinations of logics as consequence operations. This was done in accordance with the tradition that was initiated in the 1920s by J. Lukasiewicz, A. Lindenbaum, and A. Tarski. In the course of these years, algebras and the structures that are linked with them came to be considered formal semantics for logical languages, and as a result, they were utilised as models of logical systems. Additionally, within the Polish tradition, the first attempts to establish a complete theory of the algebraization of logics began with H. Rasiowa's investigations of the class of so-called implicative logics in the 1970s. These studies were conducted in the context of the Polish tradition. At the end of the 1980s, W. Blok and D. Pigozzi proposed the concepts of algebraizable and protoalgebraic logics for the first time. Rasiowa's study was a significant factor in their development of these concepts. Abstract Algebraic Logic is the term that has been given to this subfield of algebraic logic during the course of several years. Research is currently being conducted in this field, and while there are many outcomes that have been demonstrated, there are also many questions that remain unresolved.

Despite the fact that it concentrates almost solely on the category of protoalgebraic logics, the work of J. Czelakowski is currently the most comprehensive piece of work on AAL. Rasiowa, which is already considered a classic work in the field of algebraic logic, focuses on a considerably more specific category of logics and offers the first description of a general algebraization approach. The important monograph written by Blok and Pigozzi covers a wide range of generalisations and extends Rasiowa's method to encompass a more extensive category of logics. R. Wojcicki's work contains a systematic explanation of various conclusions on logics that are thought of as consequence relations and matrix semantics. These results may be accessed in its entirety. In conclusion, it is imperative that we appreciate the significance of these two publications, which not only served as a significant source of motivation for our investigation but also offer a multitude of references and a historical-theoretical introduction to the field: Jansana and Font et al.

Relationships of consequence

In order to simplify our explanation and present the most compelling illustrations of the more general concept of algebraization of logic in reality, we will restrict our discussion in this article to propositional logics. There is no one standard way of algebraization, and a general theory for first-order logic is still absent in comparison to propositional logics. However, since Tarski's work on classical logic, first-order logic has also been investigated using algebraic tools. Despite this, there is no one standard approach of algebraization. It has previously been demonstrated that the concept of logical consequence, as opposed to validity, is important to algebraic logic; in fact, logics are thought of as consequence relations. A formal definition of this concept would be helpful.

When we use the symbol { to represent a generic consequence relation, it is important to keep in mind that we do not make any assumptions about the manner in which a generic consequence relation may be represented (syntactically, semantically, etc.). The features that were discussed earlier appear to be required requirements for referring to the system that was produced as a logic, and they are intended to embody the essence of the concept of consequence. It is important to note that our definition, despite being extremely broad, does not encompass a number of well-known logical systems. These include the so-called nonmonotonic logics, which violate the principle of monotonicity, as well as all logics in which is a series of formulas rather than a set. For instance, some of the so-called substructural logics fall into this category. These families of logics have also been studied algebraically; but, because they are not within the scope of our investigation, which is composed of algebraic logic in its traditional form, we shall not explore them here.

Despite the fact that finitarity is a desirable characteristic of a logic and is linked to the well-known compactness theorem, it is no longer considered to be an essential component of the definition of a consequence relation. The Lukasiewicz logic, which is defined semantically from the real interval, is one example of a many-valued logic that does not profit from it. However, it is important to keep in mind that any consequence operation that is stated using the usual syntactical calculi, such as the Hilbert-style, sequent, natural deduction, and so on, is considered to be a finitary operation. Because of this, any type of logic that can be described by these calculi, such as classical logic, intuitionistic logic, normal modal logics, and so on, meets each of the qualities that we specified.

Let's take a look at the problem of how to standardly connect with it a class of algebras that can be regarded of as the algebraic counterpart of that logic. In addition to establishing a semantics for it, let's also consider the fact that we now have a logic in the sense that was defined before. In the following section, we will go over a couple algebraic principles that are essential in order to appreciate the algebraic logic solution as it pertains to this inquiry.

Algebras

The components that comprise an algebra are a non-empty set A and a number of operations that are specified on it, each of which has a distinct arity. A function that maps from A to A or a map that assigns an element of A to each sequence of elements of A is referred to as an n-ary operation on A. This type of operation is also known as a mapping. The 0-ary action is just one of the components that make up A. Algebraic structures such as groups, rings, and lattices are among the most common varieties. The following are some instances of algebras that are connected to logic: Boolean algebras, which correspond to classical logic; Heyting algebras, which represent intuitionistic reasoning; and MV-algebras, which are connected to Lukasiewicz many-valued logic. Additionally, Heyting algebras represent intuitionistic reasoning.

Algebra is represented by the following: $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, f_1^m, f_2^n, \ldots \rangle,$ A is the underlying set or universe of the algebra, while f m 1 and fn 2 are the algebraic operations of arity m and n, respectively. We do not define the arity of the connectives since, in most cases, the context is sufficient to determine what the connectives are.;

Nevertheless, we will occasionally write about it. $f^{\mathbf{A}}$ When we want to highlight that the algebra is where the operation f is defined, we will start with the letter A.

In the context of algebras, we employ a conventional first-order language that is characterised by equality, which is symbolised by the symbol ≈, and does not include any additional relation symbols. A function symbol of the appropriate arity is used to denote each operation that is performed by the algebra. An algebraic language is the name given to this particular sort of language.

Algebraic logic and semantics in algebras

During the 20th century, the area of algebraic logic was established by the development of algebraic completeness theorems. These theorems establish a connection between a certain logical system and a particular set of algebras. The well-known completeness theorem of classical propositional logic in relation to the class of Boolean algebras is one of the first discoveries that was made.

In order to describe this in a more formal manner, let's note that $\vdash_C$ through the relationship between classical logic and associated consequences. Additionally, let BA be the class that represents Boolean algebra.

Bear in mind that any and all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{BA}$ element 1A, which represents the highest point of its intrinsic order, is present. Furthermore, after that, for any $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm,$ In a similar vein, the subsequent criteria exist:

a) $\Gamma \vdash_C \varphi$

b) **for any** $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{BA}$ as well as any evaluation v on $\mathbf{A}$, if $v(\psi) = 1^{\mathbf{A}}$ for all $\psi \in \Gamma$, then $v(\varphi) = 1^{\mathbf{A}}$.

Furthermore, this same result is applicable to a broad range of various logical systems, such as Lukasiewicz logic in relation to MV-algebras, intuitionistic logic in relation to the class of Heyting algebras, and so on. When it comes to these kinds of completeness theorems, it is absolutely necessary to have a class of algebras K and, for every possible $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K},$ a compilation of $D \subseteq A$ components that have been defined. The components of the algebra reflect the logic's space of truth values, and the ones that are specified are those that are in some way deemed to be true in classical logic. This form of semantics may be thought of as a generalisation of truth tables, which is one way to think about it. Specifically with regard to the intuitionistic and Lukasiewicz forms of classical logic, we have $D = \{1^{\mathbf{A}}\}$ for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K},$ On the other hand, there is the possibility that there is more than one specified value.

It was not until much later that logicians started to investigate the possibility of links between these two different approaches on logic. The precise link that exists between Boolean algebra and classical propositional calculus was elucidated specifically by Tarski. The idea of Lindenbaum, which saw the collection of equations as an algebra with logical connectives triggering operations, is expanded upon by his approach. It has been discovered that the equivalent quotient algebra is a free Boolean algebra, and the logical equivalence is a congruence relation on the formula algebra. According to the explanation that follows, this is the Lindenbaum-Tarski method. The link between the two methods to classical propositional logic is established by gaining a knowledge of the logical equivalence of formulas ϕ and ψ, which may be understood as the theoremhood of an applicable formula (σ ↘ ψ) in the assertional system. The link between relation algebras and the predicate calculus is not as straightforward as one might think. In reality, the Lindenbaum-Tarski technique, when applied to the predicate calculus, results in the production of polyadic and cylindric algebras rather than relation algebras.

It is also possible to utilise the equivalential and assertional points of view to evaluate other logics that do not rely on the (classical) concept of truth. Some examples of these logics are intuitionistic logic and multiple-valued logic, both of which are founded on the concept of constructive mathematical proof. It is also possible that the link between them is complicated, much like the case of predicate logic. When applied to Lukasiewicz's infinite-valued logistic system, for instance, the Lindenbaum-Tarski technique generates what are collectively referred to as Wajsberg algebras rather than MValgebras. When the Lindenbaum-Tarski approach was applied to a well-known assertional system, specifically the intuitionistic propositional calculus, Heyting algebras were discovered. It would appear that Heyting algebras were the first algebras of logic to be discovered. In contrast to this, Boolean, cylindric, polyadic, and Wajsberg algebras were defined before the Lindenbaum-Tarski technique was applied to produce them from the relevant assertional systems. Wajsberg algebras were also defined before the Lindenbaum-Tarski method used.

Historically, the focus of algebraic logic has been on the study of particular classes of algebras of logic from an algebraic perspective, as well as the question of whether or not the Lindenbaum-Tarski approach might be utilised to establish a connection between these classes and a known assertional system. Researchers were interested in examining the relationship between certain metaphysical characteristics of the logistic system and the algebraic characteristics of the related class of algebras, which led to the discovery of what are commonly referred to as "bridge theorems." Nevertheless, once a link of this kind could be made, researchers were interested in examining the relationship between the two. An example of this would be the discovery of a natural connection between the amalgamation properties of different varieties of Heyting algebras and the interpolation theorems of classical, intuitionistic, and intermediate propositional calculi. The amalgamation discoveries in variants of polyadic and cylindric algebras were compared to the interpolation theorems in the predicate calculus, and similar linkages were investigated between the two sets of findings.

Historically, the focus of algebraic logic has been on the study of particular classes of algebras of logic from an algebraic perspective, as well as the question of whether or not the Lindenbaum-Tarski approach might be utilised to establish a connection between these classes and a known assertional system. Researchers were interested in examining the relationship between certain metaphysical characteristics of the logistic system and the algebraic characteristics of the related class of algebras, which led to the discovery of what are commonly referred to as "bridge theorems." Nevertheless, once a link of this kind could be made, researchers were interested in examining the relationship between the two. An example of this would be the discovery of a natural connection between the amalgamation properties of different varieties of Heyting algebras and the interpolation theorems of classical, intuitionistic, and intermediate propositional calculi. The amalgamation discoveries in variants of polyadic and cylindric algebras were compared to the interpolation theorems in the predicate calculus, and similar linkages were investigated between the two sets of findings.

Different definitions of logic can be classified as "semantical" or "syntactical" methods, and each of these approaches has their own set of characteristics. In order to define the logic, the "semantical" methods make use of (mathematical) objects that are not considered part of the set of formulas. These methods include algebraic semantics, relational semantics, game-theoretic semantics, and others. On the other hand, the "syntactical" methods make use of some combinatorial calculus, such as a Hilbert-style presentation (as was discussed earlier), natural deduction, Gentzen calculus, resolution, tableaux, and other similar techniques. As a consequence of this, the concept of a logic that was discussed earlier encompasses all of the known sentential logics as well as all of their numerous variants and refinements. These include the multiple-valued logics of Lukasiewicz and Post, the classical and intuitionistic propositional calculi, the intermediate logics, the local and global consequences connected to various modal logics, and so on.

At this point, one additional observation is required. The idea of logic that was investigated in this study appears to be restricted to what is often known as "sentential" or "propositional" thinking, according to the initial observations that were made. To be more specific, quantifier logics could appear to be outside of its scope of responsibility. The same may be said for the so-called substructural logics, which are defined by Gentzen calculi and do not fulfil the structural criteria (for more information, see Section 4.2 below). BCK logic, relevance logic, and linear logic are some examples of the logics that fall under this category. However, standard first-order logic may be rewritten such that it fits under the current definition of logic (see, for example, Appendix C); moreover, substructural logics can be accommodated provided the logic idea is generalised in a way that makes sense; This is a good point to bring up a different method of abstracting algebraic logic with reference to the algebraization of quantifier logics, which started out as an attempt to abstract from the conventional algebraization of first-order logic. A different conception of logic emerges as a consequence of this, one that is founded on the conviction that the definition of logic ought to have a semantic component. This tactic is discussed in a nutshell in Section 6.1; for a more comprehensive overview of the topic as well as other references, see.

This is the Lindenbaum-Tarski procedure, which is completely generalised.

When it comes to metalogical research, theoremhood or logical truth are frequently the primary themes of discussion. On the other hand, the concepts of logical equivalence and equivalency relative to a specific theory have been demonstrated to be important in order to achieve the objective of constructing a general theory of the algebraization of logic that can be applied to any system. When the ideas of logical truth and logical equivalence are defined in a way that is reciprocal, it is possible to create a theory utilising the second conception; however, this is not typically the case. According to classical logic, the concepts of logical equivalence and logical truth are defined in a reciprocal manner. This is one of the reasons why classical logic is characterised by its distinctive algebraic structure.

The semantic value, also known as the denotation, of a phrase is what Frege considers to be the truth value of the phrase. In the classical logic language, two propositions are considered to be logically identical if and only if they have the same truth value under all feasible interpretations. This is an informal definition of the term. Furthermore, if one of two translated phrases of classical logic is swapped for the other in every propositional context, the resultant sentences also have the same truth value. This is because the truth value of the sentences is still the same. Frege's extensionality principle, or simply Frege's principle, is the name given to this second idea. Using this information, one may establish what is known as Frege's weak principle, which states that two sentences of classical logic that have not been interpreted are considered to be logically identical if they can be mutually substituted in a propositional context in every interpretation without affecting the truth value of the sentence. It is possible to generalise these principles such that they may be applied to any logic by following the formalisation standards that are shown below. These guidelines are a reflection of Frege's compositionality theory.

Fundamental Principles of Abstract Algebraic Log

In the 1980s, the essential principles of AAL were presented for the first time, and first discoveries were obtained during this time period. The substantial work that has been done over the course of the previous 10 years on the algebraic theory of logical matrices anticipated the conclusion of this situation. Throughout the 1990s, progress was made in both the process of systematising the core and generalising a significant number of its ideas and discoveries. The algebraic theory of logical matrices, which was developed before to AAL and is now considered to be an essential component of ALL, is given in this part in a manner that is systematic but does not involve historical context. The book that Czelakowski has produced most recently is the sole monograph that is now accessible and that offers a comprehensive description of a substantial chunk of AAL. In addition to that, it includes not just material that has never been made public before but also thorough historical annotations for each and every chapter. This book has an in-depth analysis of it, which can be found here.

International Journal of Education and Science Research Review
March-April-2018, Volume-5, Issue-2 E-ISSN 2348-6457 P-ISSN 2349-1817
www.ijesrr.org Email- editor@ijesrr.org

This is the most common bridge discovery in conventional algebraic logic. The link between a certain metalogical aspect of a given logic, which is almost always algebraizable, and an algebraic property of its associated class of algebras has been a prominent field of research in conventional algebraic logic. This means that after a connection has been established, the results from one site can be translated to the other domain. Previous research on this subject focused on the correlation between algebraic "amalgamation" qualities and metalogical "interpolation" characteristics. This was the inaugural result linked to this issue. Specific logics that were taken into account included first-order predicate logic, logics that were intermediate between classical and intuitionistic propositional logic, and modal logics. Different variations of modal algebras, cylindric algebras (also known as polyadic algebras), and Heyting algebra subvarieties were the classes of algebras that corresponded to each other. Following that, an investigation was conducted to investigate the connection between the Beth definability theorem-related aspects of definability and the fact that epimorphisms are surjective within the framework of category theory. Although their scope did broaden over time, the majority of these investigations were conducted on an ad hoc basis and did not constitute a component of a more comprehensive theory of links of this kind. It was not until the concept of an algebraizable logic was conceived that the groundwork for a comprehensive theory of the bridge theorems was laid. The deduction theorem and the algebraic property of an algebra having its principal congruences described by equations are two examples of innovative connections of this sort that have been demonstrated using AAL. The desire to offer a wide framework within which this connection might be represented in a clear and mathematically exact manner was the fundamental driving factor behind Blok and Pigozzi's notion of algebraizable logics. In fact, this particular demand was the primary driving force behind the idea. The objective was to apply the enormous collection of discoveries in universal algebra regarding the definability of primary congruences to the question of whether or not a deduction theorem exists for a wide variety of logics. In addition to giving clarification on the meaning of algebraization, the goal was to apply these findings to the problem of asking whether or not a deduction theorem exists. A bridge theorem is a connection between an algebraic property and a metalogical property that was developed in the context of algebraizable logics. According to Blok and Pigozzi, this is the first significant bridge theorem. The following provides an explanation of this connection.

CONCLUSION

The emergence of actual logics can be achieved by the systematicization of certain inference occurrences. For the purpose of this approach, correct mathematical formalisations of intuitive notions like logical consequence, logical equivalence, and logical truth are constructed through the process of abstraction. One method to define logical equality in terms of consequence is to say that two propositions are logically equivalent if one is a consequence of the other. This is one way to describe logical equivalency. In terms of semantics, two statements are said to be conceptually comparable if they have the same meaning value regardless of the various interpretations that may be applied to them. On the other hand, when the result is communicated orally, these two methods of logical equivalence usually amount to the same thing. This is the case, for instance, if the semantic value of the conclusion is more than or equal to the value of the Premi according to this ordering, and the semantic values are partially ordered by their "degree of acceptance." Within the framework of Dummett's discourse, the concept of "semantic value" is utilised.

REFERENCES

1. A. Avron, “The method of hypersequents in the proof theory of propositional nonclassical logics”, in Logic: from foundations to applications, W. Hodges, M. Hyland, C. Steinhorn, and J. Truss, Eds., pp. 1–32. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1996.

2. W. J. Blok and B. J´onsson, “Equivalence of Consequence Operations”, Studia Logica, vol. 83, no. 1–3, pp. 91–110, 2006.

3. W. J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, “Protoalgebraic logics”, Studia Logica, vol. 45, pp. 337–369, 1986.

4. W. J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, Algebraizable logics, vol. 396 of Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, A.M.S., Providence, January 1989.

5. W. J. Blok and D. Pigozzi, “Algebraic semantics for universal Horn logic without equality”, in Universal Algebra and Quasigroup Theory, A. Romanowska, J. D. H. Smith, Eds., pp. 1–56. Heldermann, Berlin, 1992.

6. C. C. Chang, “Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics”, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 88, pp. 467–490, 1958.

7. P. Cintula and C. Noguera, A hierarchy of implicational (semilinear) logics: the propositional case, Manuscript.

8. J. Czelakowski, “Equivalential logics, I, II”, Studia Logica, vol. 40, pp. 227–236 and 355–372, 1981.

9. J. Czelakowski, Protoalgebraic logics, vol. 10 of Trends in Logic—Studia Logica Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.

10. J. Czelakowski, “Equivalential logics (after 25 years of investigations)”, Reports on Mathematical Logic, no. 38, pp. 23–36, 2003.

11. J. M. Font, “Belnap’s four-valued logic and De Morgan lattices”, Logic Journal of the I.G.P.L., vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 413–440, 1997.

12. J. M. Font, F. Guzm´an, and V. Verd´u, “Characterization of the reduced matrices for the {∧, ∨}-fragment of classical logic”, Bulletin of the Section of Logic, vol. 20, pp. 124–128, 1991.

13. J. M. Font and R. Jansana, A general algebraic semantics for sentential logics, vol. 7 of Lecture Notes in Logic, Springer-Verlag, second edition, 2009.

14. J. M. Font, R. Jansana, and D. Pigozzi, “A survey on abstract algebraic logic”, Studia Logica, Special Issue on Abstract Algebraic Logic, Part II, vol. 74, no. 1–2, pp. 13–97, 2003.

15. A. J. Gil and J. Rebagliato, “Protoalgebraic Gentzen systems and the cut rule”, Studia Logica, Special Issue on Abstract Algebraic Logic, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 53–89, 2000.

16. Ramon Jansana, “Propositional consequence relations and algebraic logic”, in The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, Edward N. Zalta, Ed. Spring 2009 edition, 2009.

17. J. Lo´s and R. Suszko, "Remarks on sentential logics", Indagationes Mathematicae, vol. 20, pp. 177–183, 1958.

18. H. Rasiowa, An algebraic approach to non-classical logics, vol. 78 of Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.

19. J. Rebagliato and V. Verd´u, "On the algebraization of some Gentzen systems", Fundamenta Informaticae, Special Issue on Algebraic Logic and its Applications, vol. 18, pp. 319–338, 1993.

20. J. Rebagliato and V. Verd´u, Algebraizable Gentzen systems and the deduction theorem for Gentzen systems, Mathematics Preprint Series 175, University of Barcelona, June 1995.